Appl. No. 10/554,710 Amendment dated December 12, 2006 Reply to Office Action of August 29, 2006

MENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:

The attached sheet of drawings includes a change to Figure 1. Figure 1 is amended to add reference sign 1. This sheet, which includes Figure 1, replaces the original sheet including Figure 1.

Attachment: one (1) replacement sheet

REMARKS

In the August 29, 2006 Office Action, the drawings and specification were objected to and claims 1-10 stand rejected in view of prior art. No other objections or rejections were made in the Office Action.

Status of Claims and Amendments

In response to the August 29, 2006 Office Action, Applicant has amended the specification and the claims as indicated above. Thus, claims 1-10 are pending, with claims 1 and 7 being the only independent claims. Reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims are respectfully requested in view of the above amendments and the following comments.

Drawings

On page 2 of the Office Action, the drawings were objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR §1.83(a). In response, Applicant has filed herewith a Request for Approval of Proposed Drawing Corrections. Amended Figure 1 now has reference number 1. Applicant believes that the drawings now comply with 37 CFR §1.83(a). Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objections.

Specification

On page 3 of the Office Action, the specification was objected to for informalities and failing to comply with 37 CFR §1.71 and 37 CFR §1.75(d)(1). In response, Applicant has amended the specification as suggested in the Office Action.

Applicant believes that the specification is now correct and complies with 37 CFR §1.71 and 37 CFR §1.75(d)(1). Withdrawal of the objections is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §112

On page 3 of the Office Action, claims 1-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. In response, Applicant has amended claims 1 and 7 to clarify the term

"therein". Claim 2 has been amended to clarify that each of the vanes has a longitudinal width in a shaft core direction that decreases.

Applicant believes that the claims now comply with 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicants filed an IDS on August 25, 2006 before the mailing of the August 29, 2006 Office Action. Applicants respectfully request an initialed and signed copy of the PTO-1449 in the next communication.

Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

On page 3-6 of the Office Action, claims 1-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent No. 04-143525 (Nakamura et al) in view of Japanese Patent No. 53-89607 (Iwata et al). In response, Applicant has amended independent claims 1 and 7 as mentioned above.

More specifically, independent claim 1 now recites a fan housing having an air suction port forming plate forming an air suction port and a step part. Claim 1 further recites an annular member that is accommodated by the step part. Independent claim 7 now recites a fan housing forming a vortex chamber passageway and an air blow out port passageway.

Claim 7 further recites an annular member that is substantially aligned with a surface of the vortex chamber passageway or the air blow out port passageway. This arrangement is *not* disclosed or suggested by Nakamura et al, Iwata et al or any other prior art of record.

Nakamura et al discloses an air conditioning device with an air guide 4, an impeller 5 and a motor 6. As stated in the Office Action, Nakamura et al does not disclose an annular member. Iwata et al discloses an impeller 2 with an annular member 6 and an air guide 5.

Referring to Figures 1 and 2 of Nakamura et al, it can be seen that the air guide 4 does not have a step part. Likewise, referring to Figures 1 and 2 of Iwata et al, the air guide 5 does

not have a step part. Accordingly, the annular member 6 of Iwata et al is not accommodated

by a step part.

Furthermore, referring to Figure 2 of Iwata et al, the annular member 6 is not

substantially aligned with a surface of a vortex chamber passageway or an air blow out port

passageway. Independent claim 7 requires that the annular member be substantially aligned

with a surface of a vortex chamber passageway or an air blow out port passageway.

Accordingly, the prior art of record lacks any suggestion or expectation of success for

combining the patents to create the Applicant's unique centrifugal fan.

Moreover, Applicant believes that dependent 2-6 and 8-10 are also allowable over the

prior art of record in that they depend from independent claim 1, and therefore are allowable

for the reasons stated above. Also, the dependent claims are further allowable because they

include additional limitations. Thus, Applicant believes that since the prior art of record does

not disclose or suggest the invention as set forth in independent claim 1, the prior art of

record also fails to disclose or suggest the inventions as set forth in the dependent claims.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that this rejection be withdrawn in view of

the above comments and amendments.

Prior Art Citation

In the Office Action, additional prior art references were made of record. Applicant

believes that these references do not render the claimed invention obvious.

In view of the foregoing amendment and comments, Applicant respectfully asserts

that claims 1-10 are now in condition for allowance. Reexamination and reconsideration of

the pending claims are respectfully requested.

Page 10 of 11

Appl. No. 10/554,710 Amendment dated December 12, 2006 Reply to Office Action of August 29, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

David J. McCrosky Reg. No. 56,232

GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP 1233 Twentieth Street, NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 (202)-293-0444

Dated: December 12, 2006

G:\12-DEC06-YTY\DK-US040384 Amendment.doc